PRINCIPLES OF ANALYSIS LECTURE 3

PAUL L. BAILEY

1. Set Proof Example

The following properties are sometimes useful in proofs:

- $A = A \cup A = A \cap A$
- $\bullet \ \, \varnothing \cap A = \varnothing$
- $\bullet \ \varnothing \cup A = A$
- $\bullet \ A \subset B \Leftrightarrow A \cap B = A$
- $A \subset B \Leftrightarrow A \cup B = B$

As an example, we prove one of these properties.

Proposition 1. Let A and B be a sets. Then $A \subset B \Leftrightarrow A \cap B = A$.

Proof. To prove an if and only if statement, we prove implication in both directions.

 (\Rightarrow) Assume that $A \subset B$. We wish to show that $A \cap B = A$. To show that two sets are equal, we show that each is contained in the other.

 (\subset) To show that $A \cap B \subset A$, it suffices to show that every element of $A \cap B$ is in A. Thus we select an arbitrary element $c \in A \cap B$ and show that it is in A. Now by definition of intersection, $c \in A \cap B$ means that $c \in A$ and $c \in B$. Thus $c \in A$. Since c was arbitrary, every element of $A \cap B$ is contained in A. Thus $A \cap B \subset A$.

 (\supset) Let $a \in A$. We wish to show that $a \in A \cap B$. Since $A \subset B$, then every element of A is an element of B. Thus $a \in B$. So $a \in A$ and $a \in B$. By definition of intersection, $a \in A \cap B$. Thus $A \subset A \cap B$.

Since $A \cap B \subset A$ and $A \subset A \cap B$, we have $A \cap B = A$.

 (\Leftarrow) Assume that $A \cap B = A$. We wish to show that $A \subset B$. Let $a \in A$. It suffices to show that $a \in B$. Since $A \cap B = A$, then $a \in A \cap B$. Thus $a \in A$ and $a \in B$. In particular, $a \in B$.

Now let us prove the analogous statement in compressed form.

Proposition 2. Let A and B be a sets. Then $A \subset B \Leftrightarrow A \cup B = B$.

Proof.

 (\Rightarrow) Assume that $A \subset B$. Clearly $B \subset A \cup B$, so we show that $A \cup B \subset B$. Let $c \in A \cup B$. Then $c \in A$ or $c \in B$. If $c \in B$ we are done, so assume that $c \in A$. Since $A \subset B$, then $c \in B$ by definition of subset. Thus $A \cup B \subset B$.

(⇐) Assume that $A \cup B = B$ and let $a \in A$. Thus $a \in A \cup B$, so $a \in B$. Thus $A \subset B$.

Date: August 27, 2003.

Define the natural numbers.

- $0 = \emptyset;$
- $1 = \{\varnothing\};$
- $2 = \{ \emptyset, \{ \emptyset \} \};$
- $3 = \{ \emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \};$

and so forth. We could have written this as

- $0 = \emptyset;$
- $1 = \{0\};$
- $2 = \{0, 1\};$
- $3 = \{0, 1, 2\};$

and so forth. A given natural number is the set containing all of the previous natural numbers. Restate as follows.

We define 0 to be the empty set. If x is a set, the $\mathit{successor}$ of x is denoted x^+ and is defined as

$$x^+ = x \cup \{x\}.$$

The *natural numbers* are the set \mathbb{N} defined by following properties:

- (1) $0 \in \mathbb{N};$
- (2) if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $n^+ \in \mathbb{N}$;

(3) if $S \subset \mathbb{N}$, $0 \in S$, and $n \in S \Rightarrow n^+ \in S$, then $S = \mathbb{N}$.

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we say the *m* is less than or equal to *n* if $m \subset n$:

 $m \leq n \Leftrightarrow m \subset n.$

3. INDUCTION

Note that the third property of natural numbers asserts that only successors of 0 are in \mathbb{N} ; that is, this property asserts that \mathbb{N} is a minimal set of successors of 0, and that \mathbb{N} is the unique set satisfying (1) through (3). This property is known as the *Principal of Mathematical Induction*.

Suppose that for every natural number n, we have a proposition p(n) which is either true or false. Let

$$S = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \mid p(n) \text{ is true} \}.$$

Now if p(0) is true, and if the truth of p(n) implies the truth of $p(n^+)$, then the set S contains 0 and it contains the successor of every element in it. Thus, in this case, $S = \mathbb{N}$, which means that p(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We state this as

Theorem 1. Induction Theorem

Let p(n) be a proposition for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If

(1) p(0) is true;

(2) If p(n) is true, then $p(n^+)$ is true;

then p(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 1. Show that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} = \frac{(n-1)n}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 2. Show that $7 \mid (11^n - 4^n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For n = 1, we have 7 = 11 - 4, so clearly $7 \mid 11^1 - 4^1$. Thus assume that $7 \mid 11^{n-1} - 4^{n-1}$, so there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $7x = (11^{n-1} - 4^{n-1})$. Now

$$11^{n} - 4^{n} = 11^{n} - 11 \cdot 4^{n-1} + 11 \cdot 4^{n-1} - 4 \cdot 4^{n-1}$$

= $(11^{n-1} - 4^{n-1})11 + (11 - 4)4^{n-1}$
= $7x \cdot 11 + 7 \cdot 4^{n-1}$
= $7(11x + 4^{n-1}).$

Thus $7 \mid (11^n - 4^n)$.

Now the induction theorem can be made stronger by weakening the hypothesis. The resulting theorem gives a proof technique which is known as strong induction.

Theorem 2. Strong Induction Theorem

Let p(n) be a proposition for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If

(1) p(0) is true;

(2) If p(m) is true for all $m \le n$, then p(n+1) is true;

then p(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let t(n) be the statement that "p(m) is true for all $m \leq n$ ".

Our first assumption is that p(0) is true, and since the only natural number less than or equal to 0 is zero (because the only subset of the empty set is itself), this means that t(0) is true.

Our second assumption is that if t(n) is true, then p(n + 1) is true. Thus assume that t(n) is true so that p(n + 1) is also true. Then p(i) is true for all $i \le n + 1$. Thus t(n + 1) is true.

By our original Induction Theorem, we conclude that t(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that p(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

4. Recursion

We now state the Recursion Theorem, which will allows us to define addition and multiplication of natural numbers.

Theorem 3. Recursion Theorem

Let X be a set, $f : X \to X$, and $a \in X$. Then there exists a unique function $\phi : \mathbb{N} \to X$ such that $\phi(0) = a$ and $\phi(n^+) = f(\phi(n))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Reason. May be proved by induction.

Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be given by $f(n) = n^+$. Let $\sigma_m : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be the unique function, whose existence is guaranteed by the Recursion Theorem, defined by $\sigma_m(0) = m$ and $\sigma_m(n^+) = f(\sigma_m(n)) = (\sigma_m(n))^+$. Then $\sigma_m(n)$ is defined to be the *sum* of *m* and *n*:

$$m+n=\sigma_m(n).$$

Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be given by $f = \sigma_m$. Let $\mu_m : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be the unique function, whose existence is guaranteed by the Recursion Theorem, defined by $\mu_m(0) = 0$ and $\mu_m(n^+) = f(\mu_m(n)) = \sigma_m(\mu_m(n)) = m + \mu_m(n)$. Then $\mu_m(n)$ is defined to be the *product* of *m* and *n*:

$$mn = \mu_m(n).$$

The following properties of natural numbers can be proved using the above definitions:

- m + n = n + m (commutativity of addition);
- (m+n) + o = m + (n+o) (associativity of addition);
- mn = nm (commutativity of multiplication);
- (mn)o = m(no) (associativity of multiplication);
- m(n+o) = mn + mo (distributivity of multiplication over addition);
- m + 0 = m (0 is an additive identity);
- 1m = m (1 is a multiplicative identity);
- 0m = 0.

We state two additional properties, which we will use to show that multiplication of integers is well-defined.

Proposition 3. Cancellation Law of Addition

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that a + c = b + c. Then a = b.

Proposition 4. Cancellation Law of Multiplication

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that ac = bc. Then a = b.

Develop the integers from the natural numbers as follows.

Let $A = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. We wish to think of the elements (a, b) of A as differences a - b.

Define a relation \sim on A by

$$(a,b) \sim (c,d) \Leftrightarrow a+d=b+c.$$

Prove that this is an equivalence relation. Let [a, b] denote the equivalence class of (a, b).

Set $\mathbb{Z} = \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

Define addition and multiplication on $\mathbb Z$ as follows:

- [a,b] + [c,d] = [a+c,b+d];
- $[a,b] \cdot [c,d] = [ac+bd,ad+bc].$

Prove that these binary operations are well-defined and satisfy the desired properties of the integers. The additive identity is [0,0] and the additive inverse of [a,b] is [b,a]. The multiplicative identity is [1,0].

Define a relation \leq on \mathbb{Z} by

$$[a,b] \le [c,d] \Leftrightarrow a+d \le b+c.$$

Prove that this is a linear order relation on \mathbb{Z} , and that it relates to addition and multiplication in the desired way.

6. Rationals

Develop the rationals from the integers as follows.

Let $A = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. We wish to think of the elements (a, b) of A as fractions $\frac{a}{b}$.

Define a relation \sim on A by

$$(a,b) \sim (c,d) \Leftrightarrow ad = bc.$$

Prove that this is an equivalence relation. Let [a, b] denote the equivalence class of (a, b).

Set $\mathbb{Q} = \{[a, b] \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } b \neq 0\}.$

Define addition and multiplication on $\mathbb Q$ as follows:

- [a,b] + [c,d] = [ad + bc,bd];
- $[a,b] \cdot [c,d] = [ac,bd].$

Prove that these binary operations are well-defined and satisfy the desired properties of the integers. The additive identity is [0, 1] and the additive inverse of [a, b] is [-a, b]. The multiplicative identity/is [1, 1] and the multiplicative inverse of [a, b] is [b, a]. Denote [0, 1] by 0 and [1, 1] by 1. For x = [a, b], denote [-a, b] by -x and [b, a] by x^{-1} .

Define a relation \leq on \mathbb{Q} by

$$[a,b] \le [c,d] \Leftrightarrow (ad-bc)bd \le 0.$$

Prove that this is a linear order relation on \mathbb{Q} , and that it relates to addition and multiplication in the desired way.

The set \mathbb{Q} satisfies the following properties:

(F1) (x + y) + z = x + (y + z);(F2) x + 0 = x;(F3) x + (-x) = 0;(F4) xy = yx;(F5) (xy)z = x(yz);(F6) $x \cdot 1 = x;$ (F7) $x \cdot x^{-1} = 1;$ (F8) xy = yx;(F9) x(y + z) = xy + xz;(O1) $x \le x;$ (O2) $x \le y$ and $y \le x$ implies x = y;(O3) $x \le y$ and $y \le z$ implies $x \le z;$ (O4) $x \le y$ or $y \le x.$

Properties (F1) through (F2) say that \mathbb{Q} is a *field*, and properties (O1) through (O4) say that \mathbb{Q} is a *linearly ordered set*.

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \text{Department of Mathematics and CSCI, Southern Arkansas University} \\ \textit{E-mail address: plbailey@saumag.edu} \end{array}$

6